

METHOD STATEMENT GLAZING Latest Edition

Method statement glazing is a comprehensive document that outlines the procedures, safety considerations, tools, and materials required for the successful installation or replacement of glazing in construction projects. It serves as a critical guide for contractors, project managers, and workers to ensure that glazing works are performed efficiently, safely, and in compliance with relevant standards and regulations. A well-prepared method statement not only enhances the quality of workmanship but also minimizes risks, prevents delays, and ensures adherence to project specifications.

Understanding Method Statement Glazing

Definition and Purpose of a Method Statement in Glazing

A method statement in glazing is a detailed plan that describes how glazing activities will be carried out on a construction site. It includes step-by-step procedures, safety protocols, equipment requirements, and quality control measures. Its primary purpose is to provide clarity and consistency in the execution of glazing works, ensuring all team members understand their roles and responsibilities.

Importance of a Method Statement for Glazing Projects

- Ensures compliance with safety standards and legal requirements
- Provides a clear workflow for glazing installation or replacement
- Facilitates risk assessment and mitigation
- Enhances communication among stakeholders
- Serves as a reference document for quality assurance
- Helps in project planning and scheduling

Key Components of a Method Statement for Glazing

1. Project Details

- Project name and location
- Client and contractor information

- Scope of work
- Project timeline

2. Materials and Equipment

- Types of glazing units (e.g., double-glazed, tempered, laminated)
- Supporting frameworks and fixings
- Sealants and adhesives
- Lifting and handling tools
- Safety gear and PPE

3. Methodology and Procedures

- Site preparation
- Removal of existing glazing (if applicable)
- Installation of new glazing units
- Sealing and finishing
- Clean-up and inspection

4. Safety and Risk Management

- Identification of hazards
- Personal protective equipment (PPE) requirements
- Fall protection measures
- Safe handling and lifting techniques
- Emergency procedures

5. Quality Control Measures

- Inspection checkpoints
- Testing and verification
- Documentation and reporting

6. Environmental Considerations

- Waste disposal

- Protection of surrounding surfaces
- Environmental regulations compliance

Step-by-Step Glazing Methodology

1. Site Preparation

Before commencing glazing works, the site must be adequately prepared:

- Clear the area of obstructions
- Protect existing structures and surfaces
- Ensure access is safe and suitable for delivery and movement of materials

2. Material Handling and Storage

Proper handling and storage are crucial to prevent damage:

- Use appropriate lifting equipment
- Store glazing units vertically in a dry, secure area
- Label all materials for easy identification

3. Removal of Existing Glazing (if required)

When replacing or upgrading:

- Carefully remove old glazing units
- Use appropriate tools to prevent damage to frames
- Dispose of waste materials following environmental regulations

4. Installation of New Glazing Units

Proper installation techniques ensure durability and safety:

- Position glazing units accurately within frames
- Use suitable sealants to prevent leaks
- Secure units with appropriate fixings
- Ensure alignment and levelness

5. Sealing and Finishing

Sealants and finishes protect the glazing from weather and enhance aesthetics:

- Apply weatherproof sealants uniformly
- Check for gaps or defects
- Clean the surface of any excess sealant or debris

6. Inspection and Quality Assurance

Post-installation checks include:

- Visual inspection for defects or damage
- Leak testing if required
- Verification against project specifications
- Documentation of completed work

7. Clean-up and Site Restoration

- Remove debris and waste materials
- Restore surrounding areas to their original condition
- Conduct a final safety check before site handover

Safety Considerations in Glazing Method Statement

Hazard Identification

- Falling glazing units
- Sharp edges of glass
- Handling heavy or awkward materials
- Working at heights

Protective Measures

- Use of PPE such as gloves, safety glasses, helmets, and harnesses
- Implementation of fall arrest systems

- Proper training for handling glass and equipment
- Use of appropriate lifting aids (e.g., suction cups, cranes)

Emergency Procedures

- First aid arrangements
- Emergency contact numbers
- Evacuation routes
- Reporting incidents

Best Practices for Effective Glazing Method Statement

- **Early Planning:** Develop the method statement during the initial project planning stages.
- **Stakeholder Involvement:** Engage all relevant parties, including safety officers and clients, in the review process.
- **Clear Documentation:** Use simple language and diagrams for clarity.
- **Training:** Ensure all workers are trained on the procedures and safety protocols outlined in the method statement.
- **Regular Review:** Update the method statement as necessary to reflect any changes in project scope or unforeseen circumstances.

Conclusion

A comprehensive **method statement glazing** is essential for ensuring the safe, efficient, and high-quality installation of glazing systems in construction projects. It acts as a roadmap that guides the entire process, from site preparation to final inspection. By adhering to established procedures, safety standards, and quality control measures, contractors can minimize risks, prevent delays, and achieve durable, aesthetically pleasing results. Properly prepared and implemented, a method statement for glazing not only facilitates smooth project execution but also enhances overall project success and client satisfaction.

Keywords: method statement glazing, glazing installation, glazing safety procedures, glazing materials, glass installation techniques, construction glazing methods, safety in glazing works, quality assurance in glazing, glazing project planning

Method Statement Glazing: A Comprehensive Guide

Glazing is an essential component in modern construction, providing both aesthetic appeal and functional benefits such as insulation, natural light, and security. The method statement glazing

process outlines the systematic approach to installing glass elements in buildings, ensuring safety, quality, and efficiency. A well-prepared method statement serves as a vital document that guides all stakeholders through the execution of glazing works, minimizing risks, and ensuring compliance with standards and specifications.

In this article, we will explore the concept of method statement glazing in detail, covering its importance, key components, procedures, safety considerations, quality control measures, and best practices to achieve successful glazing installations.

Understanding Method Statement Glazing

What is a Method Statement?

A method statement is a documented plan that describes how specific construction activities will be carried out safely, efficiently, and to a high standard of quality. It details the step-by-step procedures, equipment, materials, and safety measures involved in the task.

Why is it Crucial in Glazing Works?

For glazing projects, a method statement ensures that:

- The installation adheres to design specifications and standards.
- Risks related to handling fragile glass and working at heights are mitigated.
- The sequence of works is optimized for efficiency.
- Communication among team members is streamlined.
- Quality control is maintained throughout the installation process.

Key Components of a Method Statement for Glazing

A comprehensive glazing method statement typically includes the following sections:

1. Project Details

- Project name and location
- Client and contractor details
- Specification references
- Drawing references

2. Scope of Work

- Description of glazing works (e.g., curtain wall, double-glazing, facades)
- Extent and boundaries of the installation

3. Materials and Equipment

- Types and specifications of glass panels
- Supporting frameworks and fasteners
- Lifting and handling tools
- Personal protective equipment (PPE)

4. Procedures and Sequence of Work

- Site preparation
- Installation sequence
- Fixing and sealing methods
- Inspection and testing

5. Safety Measures

- Risk assessments
- Safe work procedures
- Emergency protocols

6. Quality Control

- Inspection points
- Acceptance criteria
- Documentation and records

7. Environmental and Waste Management

- Handling glass waste
- Pollution prevention measures

Step-by-Step Glazing Methodology

1. Site Preparation

Before commencing glazing operations, the site must be adequately prepared:

- Verify all structural supports and frameworks are in place and inspected.
- Ensure the working area is clean, free of obstructions, and adequately illuminated.
- Mark and set out relevant reference points for precise installation.

2. Material Handling and Storage

Proper handling of glass is critical:

- Use appropriate lifting equipment such as suction lifters, cranes, or glass trolleys.
- Store glass panels in designated areas, protected from environmental damage.
- Ensure glass is labeled with details such as size, type, and orientation.

3. Installation of Support Frameworks

- Install mullions, transoms, or support brackets as per drawings.
- Ensure all frameworks are level, plumb, and securely anchored.
- Use calibrated tools to verify alignment.

4. Glass Panel Installation

- Carefully lift and position glass panels, avoiding contact with edges and surfaces.
- Use suction cups or other approved lifting devices.
- Fix the glass using suitable setting blocks, packers, or support systems.
- Secure panels temporarily before final fixing.

5. Fixing and Sealing

- Fix glass panels with appropriate fasteners (e.g., anchors, screws).
- Apply sealants or gaskets to prevent water ingress and ensure thermal insulation.
- Check for uniform gaps and proper alignment.

6. Inspection and Testing

- Verify installation against approved drawings.
- Conduct visual inspections for cracks, chips, or misalignments.
- Perform water and air tightness tests if required.
- Record inspection results.

7. Cleaning and Final Touches

- Clean glass surfaces using approved cleaning agents.
- Remove any debris or excess sealant.
- Conduct final walkthrough to ensure compliance with quality standards.

Safety Considerations in Glazing Method Statement

Glazing involves working at heights, handling fragile materials, and operating heavy machinery, which presents inherent risks. The method statement must include safety protocols such as:

- Use of PPE including gloves, helmets, safety harnesses, and eye protection.
- Proper training for personnel on handling glass and operating lifting equipment.
- Implementation of fall protection measures like guardrails and safety nets.
- Safe lifting procedures, including the use of lifting beams and suction cups.
- Emergency procedures, including evacuation plans and first aid.

Pros of a well-structured safety plan:

- Reduces accident rates.
- Ensures compliance with health and safety regulations.
- Protects workers and minimizes liability.

Cons if neglected:

- Increased risk of injury or fatality.
- Project delays and increased costs.
- Potential legal consequences.

Quality Control in Glazing Works

Maintaining high quality standards is fundamental to the success of glazing projects. The method

statement should specify:

- Inspection checkpoints during each stage.
- Acceptance criteria for glass quality, fit, and finish.
- Use of calibrated tools for measurement.
- Documentation of inspections and corrective actions.

Regular quality audits help identify issues early, preventing costly rework and ensuring the longevity of the installation.

Common Challenges and Best Practices

Challenges:

- Handling oversized or heavy glass panels.
- Ensuring perfect alignment and sealing.
- Working within tight schedules and limited access.
- Managing weather conditions that can affect installation.

Best Practices:

- Detailed planning and coordination among trades.
- Use of modern lifting and handling equipment.
- Continuous training on safety and installation techniques.
- Close supervision and quality checks throughout the process.
- Effective communication channels among team members.

Features and Benefits of a Robust Method Statement Glazing

Features:

- Clear step-by-step procedures.
- Defined safety protocols.
- Material and equipment specifications.
- Inspection and testing plans.
- Environmental management strategies.

Benefits:

- Ensures consistent quality.
- Promotes safety and reduces accidents.
- Enhances efficiency and reduces wastage.
- Facilitates compliance with standards and regulations.
- Provides documentation for future reference and audits.

Conclusion

The method statement glazing is a cornerstone document that guides the successful execution of glazing works in construction projects. It encapsulates detailed procedures, safety measures, quality controls, and environmental considerations, ensuring that the installation is performed efficiently, safely, and to the highest standards. A meticulously prepared method statement not only minimizes risks but also enhances the overall quality and durability of the glazing elements, contributing significantly to the aesthetic and functional value of the building.

By following a structured approach outlined in the method statement, contractors and site teams can achieve seamless integration of glass elements, meet project deadlines, and deliver safe, high-quality results that meet or exceed client expectations.

Question What is a method statement for glazing works? A method statement for glazing works is a detailed document that outlines the procedures, safety measures, materials, and sequence of activities required to safely and effectively carry out glazing installation or repairs on a construction site. **Why is a method statement important for glazing projects?** It ensures that glazing works are performed safely, efficiently, and in compliance with relevant standards, reducing risks of accidents, damages, and ensuring quality control throughout the project. **What are the key components of a glazing method statement?** Key components include project scope, materials and equipment, safety precautions, installation procedures, quality control measures, and environmental considerations. **How do you prepare a method statement for glazing installation?** Preparation involves assessing site conditions, reviewing design drawings, identifying hazards, selecting appropriate materials and tools, and outlining step-by-step procedures to ensure safe and efficient work execution. **What safety measures are typically included in a glazing method statement?** Safety measures include the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), proper handling and lifting techniques, scaffold safety, fall protection, and procedures for working at heights or with fragile materials. **How does a method statement help in risk management for glazing works?** It identifies potential hazards, outlines control measures, and ensures all team members are aware of safety protocols, thereby minimizing accidents and ensuring compliance with health and safety regulations. **Who is responsible for preparing the glazing method statement?** Typically, the site supervisor, project manager, or a qualified safety officer prepares the method statement, often in collaboration

with the glazing subcontractor and design team. When should a glazing method statement be developed during a project? It should be prepared during the planning phase before commencing glazing works and reviewed regularly to accommodate any changes or updates during the project lifecycle. Can a glazing method statement be customized for different types of glazing systems? Yes, it should be tailored to specific glazing systems (e.g., double-glazing, curtain wall, structural glazing) to address unique installation procedures, safety considerations, and material handling requirements. What are the consequences of not having a proper method statement for glazing works? Lack of a method statement can lead to safety hazards, project delays, quality issues, non-compliance with regulations, and increased risk of accidents or damages during installation.

Related keywords: glazing installation, safety procedures, construction methods, glazing materials, quality assurance, project planning, work sequence, risk assessment, site safety, craftsmanship

REGULA FALSI METHOD ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

Regula Falsi Method Advantages and Disadvantages

The regula falsi method, also known as the false position method, is a numerical technique used to find approximate solutions to equations where the root is unknown. It is a bracketing method that combines the features of the bisection method and the secant method, aiming to improve convergence speed while maintaining reliability. Like any numerical approach, it offers a set of advantages that make it appealing for certain applications, but it also exhibits notable disadvantages that can limit its effectiveness in specific scenarios. Understanding these benefits and drawbacks is crucial for practitioners to decide when and how to employ the regula falsi method effectively.

Advantages of the Regula Falsi Method

1. Guaranteed Convergence Under Certain Conditions

One of the primary advantages of the regula falsi method is its guaranteed convergence, provided that the initial interval brackets a root and the function is continuous. Since the method always maintains an interval where the function changes sign, it ensures that the root lies within the bounds during each iteration. This reliability makes it a safe choice compared to open methods like the secant method, which may not always converge.

2. Simplicity of Implementation

The regula falsi method is straightforward to implement computationally. Its core involves calculating

a linear approximation between two points and updating the interval based on the sign of the function at the approximated root. The simplicity of the algorithm makes it accessible even for beginners and easy to incorporate into larger computational routines or software.

3. Faster Convergence Than the Bisection Method

Compared to the bisection method, which halves the interval in each iteration, regula falsi often converges more quickly because it uses a secant-like approach to estimate the root. By adjusting the interval based on a linear approximation, it tends to reduce the number of iterations needed, especially when the initial interval is well-chosen and the function behaves approximately linearly near the root.

4. Fewer Function Evaluations Than Bisection

While both methods require function evaluations at each step, regula falsi often achieves a desired accuracy with fewer evaluations compared to bisection. This efficiency can be particularly valuable when function evaluations are computationally expensive or time-consuming.

5. Flexibility With Different Types of Functions

The method is applicable to a wide range of functions, including nonlinear and complex functions, as long as the initial interval brackets a root. Its reliance on linear approximation rather than derivatives makes it suitable even when derivative information is unavailable or difficult to compute.

Disadvantages of the Regula Falsi Method

1. Slow or Stagnant Convergence in Certain Cases

Despite its faster convergence relative to bisection, regula falsi can experience slow progress or stagnation, especially when the function exhibits certain behaviors. For example, if one endpoint of the interval is close to the root and the other is far, the method may repeatedly refine the approximation near one side without significantly improving the estimate overall. This phenomenon is known as "stagnation" and can lead to an impractical number of iterations.

2. Sensitivity to the Initial Interval

The effectiveness of the regula falsi method heavily depends on the choice of the initial bracketing interval. If the initial interval does not contain a root or is poorly chosen, the method may fail to converge or converge very slowly. The method requires a good initial approximation to be efficient and reliable.

3. Potential for Non-Progressive Iterations

In some cases, the method can get "stuck" or make negligible improvements over iterations. This occurs when the linear approximation becomes poor due to the nonlinear nature of the function, especially near inflection points or discontinuities. As a result, the method might oscillate or hover without substantial progress towards the root.

4. Not as Fast as Higher-Order Methods

Compared to methods like Newton-Raphson or secant methods, regula falsi generally converges more slowly because it is a bracketing method with linear convergence. For functions where derivative information is available and the function behaves well, higher-order methods can achieve quadratic or superlinear convergence, making regula falsi less efficient.

5. Computational Overhead in Some Variants

While the basic regula falsi method is simple, some advanced variants attempt to improve convergence by modifying how the new approximation is computed. These variants can introduce additional computational steps or complexity, which may negate some of the simplicity advantages of the original method.

Summary of Advantages and Disadvantages

Summary of Advantages

- Guaranteed convergence when the initial interval brackets a root
- Simple to implement and understand
- Generally faster than the bisection method
- Requires fewer function evaluations compared to bisection in many cases
- Applicable to a wide range of functions without needing derivatives

Summary of Disadvantages

- Can experience slow convergence or stagnation in certain functions
- Highly dependent on the choice of initial interval
- May get stuck or oscillate without significant progress
- Slower convergence compared to higher-order methods like Newton-Raphson
- Potential additional computational complexity in advanced variants

Conclusion

The regula falsi method remains a valuable tool in the numerical analyst's toolkit, especially when robustness and guaranteed convergence are prioritized over speed. Its advantages, such as simplicity, reliability, and efficiency, make it suitable for a variety of problems, particularly when derivative information is unavailable or the function is complex. However, its disadvantages, including potential stagnation and sensitivity to initial conditions, mean that practitioners should exercise caution and consider alternative methods if rapid convergence is necessary or if the function exhibits challenging behavior. Understanding the balance between these advantages and disadvantages allows for more informed method selection and more effective problem-solving in numerical root-finding tasks.

Regula Falsi Method Advantages and Disadvantages

The regula falsi method, also known as the false position method, is a popular numerical technique used for finding roots of continuous functions. This iterative method provides an efficient way to approximate solutions to equations where analytical methods may be cumbersome or impossible. Its simplicity, combined with its ability to converge quickly under certain conditions, makes it a preferred choice among mathematicians, engineers, and scientists. However, like any numerical technique, the regula falsi method also has its limitations and drawbacks that are essential to understand for effective application.

Understanding the Regula Falsi Method

Before diving into its advantages and disadvantages, it's important to understand the basic concept of the regula falsi method.

Basic Concept

The regula falsi method is based on the idea of linear interpolation. Given a continuous function $f(x)$ and two points a and b such that $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ have opposite signs (indicating a root lies between them), the method approximates the root by drawing a straight line connecting the points $(a, f(a))$ and $(b, f(b))$. The intersection of this line with the x-axis provides a new approximation of the root, which then replaces either a or b based on the sign of the function at this intersection.

Step-by-Step Process

- Choose initial points a and b such that $f(a) \times f(b) < 0$
- Calculate the point c where the straight line connecting $(a, f(a))$ and $(b, f(b))$ intersects the x-axis

the x-axis:

$\backslash$

$$c = b - \frac{f(b)(a - b)}{f(a) - f(b)}$$

$\backslash$

- Evaluate $f(c)$. If $f(c)$ is sufficiently close to zero or within a desired tolerance, c is taken as the root.
- Otherwise, replace either a or b with c , depending on the sign of $f(c)$, and repeat the process.

Advantages of the Regula Falsi Method

Despite being a relatively simple numerical root-finding technique, the regula falsi method offers several notable advantages that make it appealing for various applications.

1. Simplicity and Ease of Implementation

- The regula falsi method relies on straightforward calculations involving linear interpolation, making it easy to implement even for beginners.
- No complex mathematical concepts or advanced programming skills are necessary, which allows for quick coding and testing.

2. Guaranteed Convergence under Certain Conditions

- When the initial interval $[a, b]$ contains a root and f is continuous, the method guarantees convergence to a root, provided the initial guesses are chosen appropriately.
- Unlike some methods, such as the secant method, the regula falsi method always converges if the initial bracketing is correct.

3. Faster than Bisection in Many Cases

- Since regula falsi uses linear interpolation, it often converges more rapidly than the bisection method, which simply bisects the interval regardless of the function's behavior.
- Especially when the function is well-behaved near the root, the method can achieve desired

accuracy in fewer iterations.

4. No Need for Derivatives

- Unlike Newton-Raphson, the regula falsi method does not require the computation of derivatives, making it suitable for functions where derivatives are difficult or expensive to evaluate.

5. Suitable for Functions with Multiple Roots

- The method can be adapted to find multiple roots within a given interval by applying it iteratively over different subintervals.

Disadvantages of the Regula Falsi Method

While the regula falsi method has its merits, it also suffers from several significant drawbacks that can limit its effectiveness in certain scenarios.

1. Slow or Non-Uniform Convergence in Some Cases

- The method can experience "stalling" or very slow convergence when one endpoint remains fixed during iterations, especially if the function behaves poorly near the root.
- This occurs when the new approximation continually replaces only one endpoint, leading to a linear convergence rate similar to the bisection method rather than quadratic.

2. Dependence on Good Initial Brackets

- The success and efficiency of the method heavily depend on choosing initial points a and b such that $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ have opposite signs.
- Poor choices can lead to divergence, stagnation, or convergence to an incorrect root.

3. Potential for Stagnation

- In some cases, particularly with functions that are nearly flat or have multiple roots close to each other, the method may "stall," where the approximations do not improve significantly over iterations.
- This stagnation is problematic when quick convergence is desired.

4. Limited to Continuous Functions with Sign Changes

- The regula falsi method requires a continuous function with a known sign change over the interval. It is not suitable for functions that are discontinuous or do not satisfy this condition.
- Additional preliminary analysis is often necessary before applying the method.

5. Numerical Instability and Precision Issues

- When $|f(a) - f(b)|$ is very small, the denominator in the interpolation formula becomes very small, leading to potential numerical instability.
- This can cause inaccuracies or divergence in the root approximation, especially when working with floating-point arithmetic.

Features and Variants

To address some of the shortcomings of the basic regula falsi method, several variants have been developed:

- Illinois Method: Adjusts the weight of the fixed endpoint to improve convergence.
- Pegasus Method: Uses a modified interpolation formula for faster convergence.
- Modified Regula Falsi: Incorporates dynamic updates to endpoints to prevent stagnation.

Each of these variants aims to retain the simplicity of the original method while improving convergence speed and stability.

Practical Applications and Suitability

The regula falsi method is particularly useful in scenarios where:

- The function is continuous and the initial bracket is known.
- Derivative information is unavailable or expensive to compute.
- Quick implementation and results are required.

However, for functions with complex behavior, multiple roots, or where high precision is crucial, other methods like Newton-Raphson or secant might be more appropriate.

Conclusion

The regula falsi method remains a fundamental numerical technique for root-finding due to its simplicity and guaranteed convergence under the right conditions. Its strengths lie in its straightforward implementation, no requirement for derivatives, and often faster convergence than bisection, especially for well-behaved functions. Nevertheless, it faces notable challenges, such as potential slow convergence, stagnation issues, and sensitivity to the initial interval selection.

For practitioners, understanding both the advantages and limitations of the regula falsi method is vital for its effective application. When combined with strategic initial guesses and possible variants, it can serve as a powerful tool in the numerical analyst's toolkit. However, for more complex functions or demanding precision, alternative methods or hybrid approaches may offer better performance. Overall, the regula falsi method exemplifies the balance between simplicity and effectiveness in numerical root-finding techniques.

Question What are the main advantages of the regula falsi method? The regula falsi method is simple to implement, converges more reliably than some other methods for certain functions, and guarantees a root within the initial interval as long as the function is continuous and the initial guesses bracket the root. What are the disadvantages of using the regula falsi method? One major disadvantage is that it can converge slowly, especially if the function is flat near the root, and it may get stuck with one endpoint not moving if the function's values at the interval ends do not change significantly. How does the regula falsi method compare to the bisection method in terms of efficiency? While the regula falsi method often converges faster than the bisection method due to its use of linear interpolation, it can sometimes suffer from slow convergence or stagnation, unlike the guaranteed steady convergence of bisection. Can the regula falsi method be used for all types of functions? The method works best for continuous functions where the initial interval brackets a root; however, for functions with multiple roots or discontinuities, its effectiveness may be limited or require modifications. What are some improvements or variants of the regula falsi method to overcome its disadvantages? Variants like the Illinois and Anderson methods introduce modifications to the regula falsi technique to accelerate convergence and prevent stagnation, making the root-finding process more efficient. Is the regula falsi method suitable for automated or iterative root-finding algorithms? Yes, it is suitable for automated algorithms due to its straightforward implementation, but care must be taken to monitor convergence speed and avoid stagnation, especially for challenging functions.

Related keywords: regula falsi method, false position method, numerical analysis, root finding, bracketing methods, convergence rate, advantages, disadvantages, iterative methods, computational efficiency

Read the full article online: [regula falsi method advantages and disadvantages](#)